IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CLAYTON THOMAS CIVIL ACTION

:

V.

:

BEN VARNER, et al. : NO. 02-4778

ORDER

AND NOW, this day of , 2003, respondents' time for filing objections to the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Charles B. Smith, granting an evidentiary hearing is extended to July 13, 2003.

BY THE COURT

BRUCE W. KAUFFMAN United States District Court Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CLAYTON THOMAS CIVIL ACTION

:

V.

:

BEN VARNER, et al. : NO. 02-4778

MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

LYNNE ABRAHAM, District Attorney of Philadelphia County,

by HELEN KANE, Assistant District Attorney, and THOMAS W. DOLGENOS, Chi

Federal Litigation, respectfully requests a 20-day enlargement of time in objections to the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Charles B. Smith an evidentiary hearing in the above habeas corpus case and, in support ther

- 1. On May 31, 1994, a jury convicted petitioner of second degree mur related crimes for robbing and killing Harry James, the proprietor of a state the non-fatal shooting of Peter Fuller, a patron. Petitioner, who is sentence seeks habeas corpus relief alleging, <u>inter alia</u>, that his formed ineffective for not challenging the identification of shooting victim Peter
- 2. On June 11, 2003, Magistrate Smith issued a Report a Recommendation which rejected certain of petitioner's claims but granted and hearing on petitioner's claim of ineffective for failing to file a motion identification of Mr. Fuller. Specifically, the Court concluded that this specter of doubt," and therefore granted an evidentiary hearing to respect to the specific of the spectage of the spe

underlying factual issues." Report and Recommendation, at 38.

- 2. Notwithstanding that the state court rejected petitioner's ineffective assistance, finding that trial counsel's strategy of elicitin that Mr. Fuller failed to identify petitioner at the preliminary hearicircumstances of Mr. Fuller's photographic identification was objectively order to undermine the reliability of Mr. Fuller's in-court identification man who shot him at close range, the Magistrate Judge has determined that is somewhat questionable because the state court did not hear testimony eyewitness and the detective who conducted the photographic array concercircumstances of Mr. Fuller's out of court identification petitioner.
- 3. The Commonwealth believes that the Magistrate Judge's grant evidentiary hearing is erroneous primarily because it ignores that <u>Mashington</u>, 466 U.S. 668 (1984), dictates that courts reviewing a claim of assistance of counsel must employ a strong presumption that trial counse falls within the wide range of reasonable professional assistance. <u>Id</u>. 466
- 4. The Magistrate Judge's grant of an evidentiary hearing to expossible alternative strategy further ignores the provisions of the Anti-Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA), 28 U.S.C. § 2254, et seq., which ginstant habeas petition. Indeed, under § 2254(d) it is not enough to conv-court that, in its independent judgment, the state court decision appliancements. Bell v. Cone, 122 S.Ct. 1843, 1852. The federal habeas so primary responsibility with the state courts for these judgments, and authour intervention only when a state court decision is unreasonable.

<u>Visciotti</u>, 123 S.Ct. 357, 361 (2002). Rather than focus on the reasonable state court's ruling in this regard, the Magistrate Judge's grant of an evimproperly proposes to explore the viability of the alternative strategy with the benefit of hindsight, has proposed.

5. The Commonwealth intends to set forth in a more comprehensive manner the nature of its objections to the grant of the evidentiary hearing but retime to do so because the assigned attorney has only recently returned to part-time basis following an extended medical absence for knee replacement

WHEREFORE, respondents request an additional 20 days - to July 13, 20 which to file their objections to the Report and Recommendation of Magist Charles Smith granting an evidentiary hearing in the above habeas matter.

Respectfully submitted,

HELEN KANE
Assistant District Attorney
THOMAS W. DOLGENOS
Chief, Federal Litigation

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CLAYTON THOMAS : CIVIL ACTION

:

v. :

:

BEN VARNER, et al. : NO. 02-4778

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, HELEN KANE, hereby certify that on June 23, 2003, a copy of foregoing pleading was served by placing same, first class postage prepunited States Mail addressed to:

Daniel Silverman, Esquire 1429 Walnut Street Suite 1001 Philadelphia PA 19102

HELEN KANE
PA ID No. 26096
Assistant District Attorney
District Attorney's Office
1421 Arch Street
Philadelphia PA 19102
215-686-5742